PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

REC'D 1 2 JAN 2005

WIPO PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference	TOD			
INTM-029WO	FOR FURTHER ACTION	N :	See Form PCT/IPEA/416	
International application No.	International filing date (day/n	month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)	
PCT/US04/07509	11 March 2004 (11.03.2004)		28 March 2003 (28.03.2003)	
International Patent Classification (IPC)	or national classification and IPe	C		
IPC(7): A61K 38/20, 45/00 and US Cl.:	424/85.5, 85.7			
Applicant				
INTERMUNE, INC.		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
1. This report is the international preliminary examination report, established by this International Preliminary Examining Authority under Article 35 and transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.				
2. This REPORT consists of	a total of Sheets, including	this cover sheet.	•	
3. This report is also accomp	anied by ANNEXES, compr	rising:		
a. (sent to the applica	nt and to the International B	dureau) a total of	sheets, as follows:	
·			ave been amended and are the basis	
of this repor	t and/or sheets containing r	rectifications author	orized by this Authority (see Rule	
70.16 and Se	ction 607 of the Administrati	ive Instructions).		
sheets which	h supersede earlier sheets	, but which this	s Authority considers contain an	
amenament indicated in it	nat goes beyond the disclered 4 of Box No. I and the S	losure in the inte	ernational application as filed, as	
1 5-7			icate type and number of electronic	
carrier(s))	e memanonai bareaa oniy	y) a total of (illu	icate type and number of electronic	
, , , ,	a sequence listing and/or tal	bles related theret	o, in computer readable form only,	
as indicated in t	he Supplemental Box Relat	ting to Sequence	Listing (see Section 802 of the	
Administrative Ins	tructions).			
4. This report contains indications relating to the following items:				
Box No. I Ba	Box No. I Basis of the report			
Box No. II Pri	ority			
	Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial			
	licability			
Box No. IV La	k of unity of invention			
🔀 Box No. V Rea	Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or			
F 1	ustrial applicability; citations	s and explanations	supporting such statement	
Box No. VI Ce	Box No. VI Certain documents cited			
Box No. VII Ce	No. VII Certain defects in the international application			
Box No. VIII Cei	Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application			
Date of submission of the demand Date of completion of this report		f this report .		
08 September 2004 (08.09.2004)		06 December 2004 (06.12.2004)		
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/ US		Authorized officer		
Mail Stop PCT, Attn: IPEA/US		- τ	1 Polant D-	
Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Janet L. Andres T. KOOLUM J. KOOLUM			7. Roberts for	
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230 Telephone No. (571) 272-1600			//	
orm PCT/IPEA/409 (cover sheet)(Jamary 2004)				

International application No.	
PCT/US04/07509	

Box No. I Basis of the report
1. With regard to the language, this report is based on the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
This report is based on translations from the original language into the following language, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of:
international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b))
publication of the international application (under Rule 12.4)
international preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3)
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
2. With regard to the elements of the international application, this report is based on (replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report):
the international application as originally filed/furnished
the description:
pages 1-58 as originally filed/furnished
pages* NONE received by this Authority on
pages* NONE received by this Authority on
the claims:
pages 59-61 as originally filed/furnished
pages* NONE as amended (together with any statement) under Article 19
pages* NONE received by this Authority on
pages* NONE received by this Authority on
the drawings:
pages NONE as originally filed/furnished
pages* NONE received by this Authority on
pages* NONE received by this Authority on
a sequence listing and/or any related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing.
3. The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:
the description, pages
the claims, Nos
the drawings, sheets/figs
the sequence listing (specify):
any table(s) related to the sequence listing (specify):
4. This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this report and listed below had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).
the description, pages
the claims, Nos
the drawings, sheets/figs
the sequence listing (specify):
any table(s) related to the sequence listing (specify):
* If item 4 applies, some or all of those sheets may be marked "superseded."

Form PCT/IPEA/409 (Box No. I) (January 2004)

International application No. PCT/US04/07509

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement						
Claims 2-6, 10-28, 30	YES					
Claims 1, 7-9, 29	NO					
Claims NONE	YES					
Claims 1-30	NO					
Claims 1-30	YES					
Claims NONE	NO NO					
	Claims 2-6, 10-28, 30 Claims 1, 7-9, 29 Claims NONE Claims 1-30 Claims 1-30					

2. Citations and Explanations (Rule 70.7) Please See Continuation Sheet

Form PCT/IPEA/409 (Box No. V) (January 2004)

International application No. PCT/US04/07509

Suppl	lemen	tal	Box
Suppi	emen	tai	ROX

In case the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient.

Continuation of:

V. 2. Citations and Explanations:

Claims 1, 7-9, and 29 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by U.S. patent 5,831,062. This patent teaches that alpha interferons, in particular consensus interferon, can be used to treat poxviruses in column 5, lines 46-51.

Claims 2-6 lack an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over the '062 patent, cited above, in view of Moss, 1996. The '062 patent teaches as set forth above but fails to teach co-administration of vaccinia virus. Moss teaches on p. 11341 that vaccinia virus has been used to treat smallpox. It would be obvious to the artisan of ordinary skill to combine these two teachings to administer interferon alpha and vaccinia virus to treat smallpox. One of ordinary skill would be motivated to do so because both have been shown to be effective for this purpose.

Claims 10 and 16-18 lack an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over Alcami et al., 1996. Alcami et al. teaches that interferon gamma is an important anti-viral agent whose action is inhibited by poxviruses. Alcami et al. does not teach administration of exogenous interferon gamma; however, it would be obvious to the artisan of ordinary skill to administer interferon gamma, since Alcami et al. teaches that it is an effective agent. The artisan of ordinary skill would expect the administration of extra interferon gamma to overcome the ability of the virus to inhibit its activity and allow it to function as an anti-viral agent.

Claims 11-15 lack an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over Alcami et al. in view of Moss. Each of these references teaches as set forth above. Neither teaches co-administration. It would be obvious to the artisan of ordinary skill to combine these two teachings to administer interferon gamma and vaccinia virus to treat smallpox. One of ordinary skill would be motivated to do so because each would be effective for this purpose and it is thus prima facie obvious to combine them.

Claims 19, 25-27, and 30 lack an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over the '062 patent in view of Alcami et al. Each of these references teaches as set forth above. Neither teaches co-administration. It would be obvious to the artisan of ordinary skill to combine these two teachings to administer interferon gamma and interferon alpha to treat smallpox. One of ordinary skill would be motivated to do so because each would be effective for this purpose and it is thus prima facie obvious to combine them.

Claims 20-24 lack an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over the prior art as applied in the immediately preceding paragraph and further in view of Moss. Each of these references teaches as set forth above but none teaches co-administration of all three agents. It would be obvious to the artisan of ordinary skill to combine these three teachings to administer interferon gamma and interferon alpha as well as vaccinia virus to treat smallpox. One of ordinary skill would be motivated to do so because each would be effective for this purpose and it is thus *prima facie* obvious to combine them.

International application No. PCT/US04/07509

	•		•	
upplemental Box				
teach co-administration of ribavirin. alpha interferon and further that the to combine thesefour teachings to a	The '074 patent teaches in combination can be used to	column 5, lines 14-37, that ri treat poxviruses. It would be	as applied in the immediately precede et al teach as set forth above but fai ibavirin is usefully co-administered who obvious to the artisan of ordinary sivirin as well as vaccinia virus to treat for this purpose and it is thus prince	
Claims 1-30 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4), and thus have industrial applicability because the subject matter claim can be made or used in industry.				
			,	
			,	
•				
•				
			•	